# Hydrogeology, Hydraulic Properties, and Water Quality of the Surficial and Brunswick Aquifer Systems Near the City of Ludowici, Long County, Georgia, July 2003

By Sherlyn Priest and Gregory S. Cherry

# INTRODUCTION

The Upper Floridan aquifer is the principal source of water in the coastal area of Georgia. Declining water levels and localized saltwater contamination have resulted in regulations restricting withdrawals from the aquifer in parts of the coastal area and have prompted interest in developing supplemental sources of ground water. These supplemental sources of water include the surficial and Brunswick aquifer systems. In the coastal area, these aquifer systems have been used primarily for irrigation and industrial purposes.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)—in cooperation with the City of Ludowici and the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division (GaEPD) conducted an evaluation of the potential for alternative sources of ground water at a site located at the Long County Detention Center near the City of Ludowici. The purpose of this study was to estimate the hydraulic properties and collect waterquality data for the lower confined zone of the surficial aquifer system (hereinafter referred to as lower confined zone) and the Brunswick aquifer system. The scope of this study included construction of test wells, collection of lithologic cuttings, borehole geophysical logging, aquifer testing and subsequent analysis, and water-quality sampling and analysis. These data are important for the successful development and management of ground-water resources in the county.

## **Description of Study Area**

The Ludowici test site is located in central Long County near the city of Ludowici, Georgia, in the Coastal Plain physiographic province. The Ludowici site is about 12 miles south west of the city of Hinesville and about 12 miles northeast of the city of Jesup (maps at right and facing page). Land use in the area is primarily forest. Topographic relief across the area is low, with an approximate land-surface altitude of 80 feet (ft) above the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). The climate in the area is mild, with a mean-annual temperature of 79.2 degrees Fahrenheit at the National Weather Station at Jesup, Georgia (National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration [NOAA], 2002). For the 30-year period 1971–2000, average monthly precipitation ranged from 2.42 inches during November to 6.40 inches during August, and annual precipitation averaged 48.70 inches (National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration, 2002).

## **Methods of Study**

To better identify the water-bearing characteristics and lithology of the study area, two wells were drilled. One well



(32M018) was completed in the lower confined zone on June 20, 2003. An additional well (32M017) was completed in the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers of the Brunswick aquifer system on June 27, 2003. Basic construction of these wells consists of 25-inch-diameter boreholes cased with 18-inch-diameter surface steel casing and a 17-inch-diameter hole with a 10-inch-diameter steel casing screened in the aqui fer material (table, facing page). The screened interval was gravel packed, and the casing was grouted with bentonite.

On completion of the deepest hole (well 32M017), borehole geophysical logs were collected and included natural-gamma radiation, spontaneous potential, lateral resistivity, short- and long-normal resistivity, and caliper. Borehole geophysical logs and well cuttings were used to identify water-bearing zones, select casing depths and screened intervals for each well, and





to verify the correlation of stratigraphic units. Because many of the borehole cuttings were poorly recovered during drilling, it was necessary to use lithologic descriptions from another well drilled in northern McIntosh County (Weems and Edwards, 2001) to aid in the hydrogeologic and geologic descriptions and for correlation of units at the Ludowici test site. The A- and B-marker horizons were used to identify the tops of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers, respectively, and are character ized by a sharp increase in the natural-gamma radiation (Clarke and others, 1990) (see page 105). The C-marker horizon, used to identify the top of the Upper Floridan aquifer, was not pen etrated during the drilling of well 32M017.

Pretest ground-water levels were monitored in well 32M018 during a 3-day period and in well 32M017 during a 4-day period to document background water-level trends and pos sible atmospheric effects. Both wells were instrumented with an electronic pressure transducer and data logger, and water levels were recorded at 15-minute intervals.

Pretest pumping was conducted to verify that the pumped wells were fully developed and to determine the optimum pumping rate prior to the pumping phase of the aquifer tests. Water levels were monitored to ensure that the drawdown in the pumped well would not exceed the depth of the transducer and to provide information on the response of the aquifer to pumping. During the pretest pumping and subsequent aquifer test, ground-water levels were monitored using a commer cially available data logger with a 100-pound-per-square-inch (psi) pressure transducer in the pumped well; manual measure ments were made in the observation well. Verification mea surements were made using dedicated electric tapes to confirm proper operation of the pressure transducers and data loggers. Atmospheric pressure was measured with an internal pressure sensor in the data logger. Starting at time equals 0, a sampling interval was programmed into the data logger to facilitate the rapid collection of early time data, using a logarithmic scale that was decreased to a 1-minute interval later in the test.

Location and construction data for wells used at city of Ludowici site, Long County, Georgia.

[NAVD 88, North American Vertical Datum of 19	988; °, degree; ', minute; ", second; –, negative]
---	--

		Aquifer	Latitude	Longitude	Hole depth (feet)	Well depth (feet)	Altitude (feet NAVD 88)			
Well number	Well name						Land surface	Top of screen interval	Bottom of screen interval	Casing diameter (inches)
32M018	City of Ludowici PW-2	Lower water-bear- ing zone of surficial aquifer system	31°43'20"	-81°43'26"	295	290	60	-65	-145	10
32M017	City of Ludowici PW-1	Upper Brunswick	31°43'20"	-81°43' 26"	477	420	60	-170	-210	10
		Lower Brunswick						-280	-360	10

A submersible pump powered by a trailer-mounted diesel electric generator was used to pump each well. Approximately properties were measured in a flow-through chamber using a 80 ft of 6-inch polyvinyl-chloride pipe was used to discharge the water away from the well. Ground-water discharge was measured using a totalizing flowmeter. The gate valve set ting was determined during pretest pumping and remained in that position throughout the duration of the test. The setting allowed the discharge rate from the pump to be maximized while applying sufficient back pressure to the pump; thus, water-level fluctuations caused by the operation of the pump were minimized.

An aquifer test was performed in the lower confined zone using pumped well 32M018 and well 32M017 for an obser vation well. A similar test was performed in the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers using pumped well 32M017 and observation well 32M018 screened in the lower confined zone. Data from these aquifer tests were analyzed to estimate transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity for the aforemen tioned water-bearing units.

During the aquifer tests, water-level fluctuations produced by changes in atmospheric pressure, local pumping, and tidal oscillations were minor in comparison to the amount of drawdown induced by the pumping. Therefore, data used in the aquifer-test analysis were not corrected for atmospheric pressure, local pumping, or tidal effects.

Drawdown and recovery data were analyzed using the modi fied nonequilibrium analytical model of Cooper and Jacob (1946) and the analytical model for nonsteady radial flow in an infinite leaky aquifer of Hantush and Jacob (1955). The Hantush and Jacob (1955) method accounts for leakage, but does not differentiate between leakage from above or below the aquifer. The raw drawdown and recovery data were ana lyzed using spreadsheets developed for the analysis of aquifertest data (Halford and Kuniansky, 2002). The spreadsheets incorporate analytical solutions of the partial differential equation for ground-water flow to a well for a specific type of condition or aquifer (Halford and Kuniansky, 2002). Analysis of drawdown data using graphs aids in the determination of the accuracy of estimated hydraulic properties. Typically, the early part of a drawdown curve is steep, showing well-storage effects; the middle part follows a straight line as water enters the well from the aguifer; and the latter part continues along a straight line until the aguifer reaches steady-state conditions. A change in the slope in the latter part of the curve represents either recharge (leakage) to the aquifer or contact with an impermeable boundary. Leakage or recharge causes drawdown to decrease, whereas contact with an impermeable bound ary causes drawdown to increase. Early termination of a test would result in an underestimation of hydraulic properties.

Water samples were collected from wells 32M018 and 32M017 and analyzed for major ions, nutrients, metals, and radionuclides. Water samples were collected after several

hours of pumping when field conditions had stabilized. Field commercially available water-guality multiprobe following USGS protocols (Wilde and Radtke, 1999). Water samples were preserved and stored in polyethelene or acid-rinsed bottles and sent by overnight carrier to the USGS National Water Quality Laboratory, Denver, Colorado.

#### **Previous Investigations**

Clarke and others (1990) defined the surficial and upper and lower Brunswick aguifers and described their water-bearing characteristics. Steele and McDowell (1998) mapped the per meable thickness and areal distribution of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers. Sharpe and others (1998) described results of a lower Brunswick aquifer test in Chatham County, Georgia. Leeth (1999) described the hydrogeology of the surficial aqui fer at Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay in Camden County, Georgia. More recent investigations include Gill (2001), who described the development potential of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifer in Glynn and Bryan Counties, Georgia; Radtke and others (2001), who described the results of an engi neering assessment of the "Miocene" aquifer system in coastal Georgia; Weems and Edwards (2001) who described the geol ogy of the Oligocene and younger deposits in coastal Georgia; and Clarke (2003), who described the surficial and Brunswick aquifer systems as alternative sources of ground water.

## HYDROGEOLOGY AND LITHOLOGY

Hydrologic units in Long County, Georgia, include, in descending order, the water-table zone and upper and lower confined zones of the surficial aguifer system (Miller, 1986; Krause and Randolph, 1989; Clarke and others, 1990; Clarke, 2003); the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers of the Bruns wick aquifer system (Clarke and others, 1990); and the Floridan aquifer system (Miller, 1986). A profile showing geologic and hydrogeologic units at the Ludowici site is shown in the chart, facing page. The surficial aquifer system at the Ludo wici site is present from land surface to a depth of 210 ft. The lower confined zone consists of 80 ft of medium to coarse sand and is present from 125 to 205ft below land surface. The lower confined zone and the upper Brunswick aquifer are sep arated by a clay confining unit at a depth of 210230 ft. The upper Brunswick aquifer consists of about 40ft of medium sand with shells and is present from a depth of 230 to 270 ft. The top of the aquifer is indicated by the A-marker horizon on the natural-gamma log. The lower Brunswick aquifer consists of about 85ft of clayey sand and is present from 340to 425ft. The top of the aquifer is indicated by the B-marker horizon on the natural-gamma log. The upper and lower Brunswick aquifers are separated by a 70-ft-thick confining unit consist ing of clay and sand.

YDROGEOLOGY	Hydrogeologic units	Water-table zone	Confining unit	Upper confined zone	Confining unit	confined zone	Confining unit	Upper Brunswick aquifer		couring contraction contractio	Brunswick ad aquifer	Confining unit	Upper Floridan aquifer
GEOPHYSICAL LOGS	<b>Caliper</b> (inches)			hu		while		workhy		ر مىلىرىنى بۇر		Leathan	18 19 17 17 17 10 10
	Long normal resistivity (ohm-meters)			M	ro-d	hund	~	N.	~	مر	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	8 120   100   20   -
	Short normal resistivity (ohm-meters)			4	N	muthan		M		$\mathcal{L}$	M	- Lance	0 120 100 100 20
	Lateral resistivity (ohm-meters)		Margania Margania										0 - 001 - 09
	Spontaneous potenital (millivolts)			~~~		~~~ <u> </u>	~~~	~	~~~~ 1	<u></u>	hammen	Vell	0 90 40 50 - 50 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	Natural Gamma (American Petroleum Institute Units)					Screen	32M018	A-marker		B-marker		C-marker?	-20
	Depth (feet)		20	100	1 1 1 1		500 500 500	250			350	450	0
GEOLOGY <sup>1</sup>	logy	Clayey sand	Sandy clay	Clayey sand	Sandy clay	Phosphatic sand	Clay	Phosphatic sand	Clayey sand	Sandy clay	Clayey sand	Clayey shelly sand	Limestone
	Litho		·  ·   ·  ·  · ·  ·   ·  ·  · ·  ·   ·  ·  · ·  ·   ·  ·  ·		• •  . •  • • <sup>0</sup>		· · ·     · · 0     · 0     · 0     · 0     · 1     · 0	0.0		•  •  •  •  •  •  • •  •  •  •  •  •  • •  •  •  •  •  •  • •  •  •  •  •  •  •  • •  •  •  •  •  •  •  •  •			wards, 2001
	Formation	Satilla	Ebenezer					Coosawatchie Marks Head Parachucla			. Tiger	Leap	Suwannee Limestone Weems and Edv
	Series	Pliocene and younger	Wiocene							Oligocene	1 Modified from		

## HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES

Single-well aguifer tests were designed to provide hydraulic data for computation of hydraulic properties. Aquifer tests consisted of background water-level monitoring prior to the test, pretest pumping, a constant-discharge pumping test (drawdown), and post-test water-level monitoring (recovery).

#### Lower Confined Zone, Surficial Aquifer System

The surficial aguifer system test was conducted July 29 August 1, 2003, and consisted of 24 hours of constant pumping and 55 hours of water-level recovery. For the test, well 32M018, completed in the lower confined zone, was pumped and moni tored. During the test, water levels in well 32M017 were moni tored to record any response within the Brunswick aguifer system. Prior to the surficial aquifer system test, water levels were measured during a 7-day period in both wells to docu ment background water-level trends and possible tidal effects. measured during a 4-day period in both wells to document Water-level measurements varied from about 32.98 to 33.28 ft below land surface in well 32M018, indicating minor changes in water level during pretest monitoring. During the test, water below land surface in well 32M017, indicating virtually levels were measured manually using an electric tape. In well 32M018, discharge varied from 800 to 850 gallons per minute (gal/min), averaging 826 gal/min throughout the test with a total 1,189,440 gallons pumped. Total drawdown was 2st after 24 hours of pumping (lower confined zone graphs, below).

Results from the analyses of the drawdown data from well 32M018 using the Cooper and Jacob (1946) and the Hantush and Jacob (1955) analytical methods provided a reasonable estimate of the hydraulic properties of the lower confined zone properties of the combined upper and lower Brunswick of the surficial aquifer system. Results from the two solutions are consistent with one another and indicate the transmissivity aquifer test are consistent with one another and indicate the for the lower confined zone is about 6,000 feet squared per day ( $ft^2/d$ ) with a hydraulic conductivity of 70 feet per day (ft/d) (hydraulic properties table, facing page).

#### **Upper and Lower Brunswick Aquifers**

The Brunswick aquifer test was conducted July 8-10, 2003, and consisted of 24 hours of constant pumping and 16 hours of water-level recovery. For the test, well 32M017, completed in the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers was pumped and monitored. During the test, water levels in well 32M018 were monitored to record any response within the lower confined zone. For the analysis, the two Brunswick aguifers were treated as one, and the hydraulic conductivity was based on the thickness of the sum of the two aquifers, disregarding the 70-ft-thick confining unit between the aquifers. Because of this assumption, it is difficult to reliably estimate the hydrau lic conductivity and transmissivity of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers individually; thus, the transmissivity is a composite value for the entire aquifer.

Prior to the Brunswick aquifer system test, water levels were background water-level trends and possible tidal effects. Water-level measurements varied from about 34.93 to 34.9ft no change in water level during pretest monitoring. In well 32M017, discharge varied from 580 to 650 gal/min, averaging 600 gal/min throughout the test; a total of about 861,120 gal lons were discharged. Total drawdown was 64 ft after 24 hours of pumping (Brunswick aquifer system graphs, below).

Results from the analyses of the drawdown and recovery data from well 32M017 using the Cooper and Jacob (1946) analyti cal method provided a reasonable estimate of the hydraulic aquifers. Results from the pumping and recovery phase of the combined transmissivity for the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers is about 2,000 ft/d with a hydraulic conductivity of 20 ft/day (hydraulic properties table, facing page).



**Observation well 32M018 (lower confined zone)** 

Drawdown and recovery in observed wells during aguifer test of the lower confined zone and the Brunswick aquifer system, Ludowici test site, Long County, Georgia, July 8–10, 2003.

	, uo., uittoj								
Well identification	Transmissivity (ft²)	Hydraulic conductivity (ft)	Condition	Method					
		Lower confined	zone						
32M018	6,000	6,000 70		Cooper and Jacob (1946)					
do.	6,000	70	Drawdown Hantush and Jacc						
Brunswick aquifer system									
32M017	2,000	20	Drawdown	Cooper and Jacob (1946)					
do.	2,000	20	Recovery	Cooper and Jacob (1946)					

Hydraulic properties at wells 32M018 and 32M017, Ludowici test site, Long County, Georgia [ft<sup>2</sup>, square foot; ft, foot; do., ditto]

## **GROUND-WATER QUALITY**

Results of the chemical analysis of ground-water samples obtained from the wells completed in the surficial and Bruns wick aquifer systems were used to compare geochemical vari ability of ground water in the area. Water samples from wells 32M018 and 32M017 were analyzed for major ions, metals, total organic carbon, nutrients, and radionuclides (see waterquality table, following page). Field properties—including pH, specific conductance, and water temperature were measured prior to sample collection. Concentrations of constituents were compared to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (2000a, 2000b) maximum contaminant levels (formerly known as primary maximum contaminant level) and secondary standards (formerly known as secondary maximum contaminant level) and Georgia Environmental Protection Division (1997a, 1997b) regulations for drinking water.

Graphical methods for the presentation of water-quality data provide a means to distinguish the chemical properties of ground water from various water-bearing zones. A trilinear diagram—illustrating the percent composition of selected major cations and anions, as well as dissolved-solid concen trations for these constituents for the surficial and Brunswick aguifer systems—is shown at right. As the diagram shows, water from the Brunswick aguifer system is a sodium-carbon ate type, and water from the lower confined zone is a calciumcarbonate type. Water from the Brunswick aguifer system has a higher concentration of dissolved solids than the surficial aguifer system. Hardness of water in the Brunswick aguifer system is 107 milligrams per liter (mg/L) as calcium carbonate (CaCO ,), and hardness of water in the surficial aquifer system is 130 mg/L as CaCO, (based on the sum of milliequilalents of calcium, magnesium, barium, and strontium). According to the classification of Durfor and Becker (1964), water in the Brunswick aguifer system is categorized as moderately hard and in the surficial aguifer system is categorized as hard.

Water from surficial aquifer system has an iron concentra tion of 9.2 micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L), well below the drink ing-water standard of 300  $\mu$ g/L, and the pH value of 7.5 falls above the secondary drinking-water standard of 6.5 (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000a, 2000b). Tritium was analyzed in samples from the surficial aquifer system to deter mine if water was entering the aquifer from surface recharge. Tritium activity in the water is less than the reporting limit of 5.7 picocurries per liter, which is not indicative of leakage or recharge. Water from the lower confined zone of the surficial aquifer system has a chloride concentration of 5.78ng/L, specific conductance of 278microsiemens per centimeter ( $\mu$ S/cm), and total organic carbon concentration of 0.83 mg/L.

Water from the Brunswick aquifer system has no major ionic concentrations that exceed drinking-water standards and the pH value of 7.9 is within the acceptable range of 6.5-8.5 for secondary drinking-water standards. Water from the Bruns wick aquifer system has a dissolved chloride concentration of 6.64 mg/L and specific conductance of 331  $\mu$ S/cm.



Percentage composition of major ionic constituents and dissolved solids in water from the lower confined zone and Brunswick aquifer system, Ludowici test site, Long County, Georgia, July 2003.

#### 108 Ground-Water Conditions and Studies in Georgia, 2004–2005

Field properties, major ions, and selected trace elements in water samples collected from the lower confined zone (32M018) and upper and lower Brunswick aquifers (32M017), Ludowici test site, Long County, Georgia, July 2003, and drinking-water standards for selected constituents.

[MCL, primary maximum contaminant level; SMCL, secondary maximum contaminant level; milligram per liter; —, no datavailable; µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter; CaCQ, calcium carbonate; <, less than; E, estimated value; µg/L, microgram per liter; pCi/L, picocurie per liter; –, minus]

	Test well number an	d water-bearing zone	Drinking-water standards <sup>1</sup>		
Constituents	32M018, Lower confined	32M017, Brunswick	MCL	SMCL	
	zone	aquifer system			
Dissolved oxygen, in mg/L	0.5	0.5	_	_	
Field pH, standard units	7.5	7.3	_	6.5-8.5	
Lab pH, standard units	7.5	7.9	_	6.5-8.5	
Field specific conductance, in µS/cm	274	336	_	_	
Lab specific conductance, in µS/cm	278	331	_	_	
Water temperature, in degrees Celsius	21.7	21.3	—	_	
Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> , in mg/L	130	107	—	—	
Calcium, dissolved, in mg/L	36.6	25.3	—	—	
Magnesium, dissolved, in mg/L	9.39	10.6	—	—	
Potassium, dissolved, in mg/L	1.71	3.10	—	—	
Sodium, dissolved, in mg/L	16.6	36.8	—	—	
Alkalinity as CaCO $_3$ , in mg/L	130	296	—	—	
Chloride, dissolved, in mg/L	5.78	6.64	—	250	
Silica, dissolved, in mg/L	30.7	37.0	—	—	
Sulfate, dissolved, in mg/L	3.26	16.1	—	250	
Dissolved solids (sum of constituents), in mg/L	104	136	—	500	
Ammonia, dissolved, in mg/L	0.06	0.10	—	—	
Nitrite, nitrate, as N, dissolved, in mg/L	<0.022	<0.022	10	_	
Phosphorus, dissolved, in mg/L	0.026	E 0.003	—	—	
Phosphorus, total, in mg/L	0.009	0.004	—	_	
Organic carbon, total, in mg/L	0.83	5.51	—	—	
Aluminum, dissolved, in µg/L	E 1.0	—	—	50–200	
Antimony, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.30	<0.30	6	—	
Barium, dissolved, in µg/L	14.9	4.58	2,000	—	
Beryllium, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.06	<0.06	4	—	
Cadmium, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.037	<0.037	5	—	
Chromium, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.8	<0.8	100		
Cobalt, dissolved, in µg/L	0.069	0.067	—	—	
Copper, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.23	E 0.13	—	1,000	
Iron, dissolved, in µg/L	9.2	20.6	—	300	
Lead, dissolved, in µg/L	0.19	<0.08	—	—	
Manganese, dissolved, in µg/L	59.1	5.10	—	50	
Molybdenum, dissolved, in µg/L	0.44	<0.33	—	—	
Nickel, dissolved, in µg/L	0.49	0.66	100	—	
Silver, dissolved, in µg/L	<0.20	<0.20	—	100	
Strontium, dissolved, in µg/L	270	429	—		
Zinc, dissolved, in µg/L	E 1.6	10.4	—	5,000	
Alpha radioactivity, 2-sigma, Th-230, in pCi/L	0.99	1.93	15	_	
Alpha radioactivity, Th-230, in pCi/L	-0.2	2.0	—		
Beta radioactivity, 2-sigma, CS-137, in pCi/L	1.18	1.86			
Gross beta radioactivity, CS-137, in pCi/L	1.9	4.1	—	—	
Tritium 2-sigma, in pCi/L	3.6	—	—	—	
Tritium, total, in pCi/L	<5.7	—	—	—	
Uranium, dissolved, in µg/L	E 0.011	0.02	30	—	

<sup>1</sup>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000a, b

# **REFERENCES CITED**

- Clarke, J.S., 2003, The surficial and Brunswick aquifer systems—Alternative ground-water resources for Coastal Geor gia, in Proceedings of the 2003 Georgia Water Resources Conference held April 23–23, 2003, at The University of Georgia, K.J. Hatcher, ed., Institute of Ecology, The Univer sity of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, CD–ROM.
- Clarke, J.S., Hacke, C.M., and Peck, M.F., 1990, Geology and ground-water resources of the coastal area of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 113, 106 p.
- Cooper, H.H., Jr., and Jacob, C.E., 1946, A generalized graphical method for evaluating formation constants and summarizing well-field history: Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 27, p. 526–534.
- Durfor, C.N., and Becker, Edith, 1964, Public water supplies of the 100 largest cities in the United States, 1962: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1812, 364 p.
- Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 1997a, Primary maximum contaminant levels for drinking water: Environ mental Rule 391-3-5-18, Revised October 1997: Official Code of Annotated Statutes, Statute 12-5-170 (Georgia Safe Drinking Water Act), variously paged.
- Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 1997b, Primary maximum contaminant levels for drinking water: Environ mental Rule 391-3-5-19, Revised October 1997: Official Code of Annotated Statutes, Statute 12-5-170 (Georgia Safe Drinking Water Act), variously paged.
- Gill, H.E., 2001, Development of long-term sustainable water supplies from the Miocene upper and lower Brunswick aquifers, Glynn and Bryan Counties, Georgia, in Proceedings of the 2001 Georgia Water Resources Conference, March 26–27, 2001, K.J. Hatcher, ed., Institute of Ecology, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, p. 660–664.
- Halford, K.J., and Kuniansky, E.L., 2002, Documentation of spreadsheets for the analysis of aquifer-test and slugtest data, Version 1.2: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-197, 51 p.
- Hantush, M.S., and Jacob, C.E., 1955, Non-steady radial flow in an infinite leaky aquifer: Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, v. 36, no. 1, p. 95–100.
- Krause, R.E., and Randolph, R.B., 1989, Hydrology of the Floridan aquifer system in southeast Georgia and adjacent Florida and South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Profes sional Paper 1403-D, 65 p.

- Leeth, D.C., 1999, Hydrogeology of the surficial aquifer in the vicinity of a former landfill, Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay, Camden County, Georgia: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-42**4**, 28 p.
- Miller, J.A., 1986, Hydrogeologic framework of the Floridan Aquifer System in Florida and in parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1403-B, 91 p.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2002, National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center, Monthly station normals of temperature, precipitation, and heating and cool ing degree days, 1971–2000: Asheville, N.C., no. 81, 28 p.
- Radtke, J.S., Hemingway, C.D., and Humphries, Robert, 2001, Engineering assessment of the Miocene aquifer system in coastal Georgia, in Proceedings of the 2001 Georgia Water Resources Conference, March 26–27, 2001, K.J. Hatcher, ed., Institute of Ecology, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, p. 665–668.
- Sharpe, Bill, Watson, Sam, and Hodges, R.A., 1998, Aqui fer performance test report: St. Marys Miocene aquifer, Camden County, Georgia: September 30-October 6, 1997: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 34, 54 p.
- Steele, W.M., and McDowell, R.J., 1998, Permeable thickness of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers, coastal area, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 103, 34 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000a, Maximum contaminant levels (Subpart B of part 141, National Primary Drinking-Water Regulations): U.S. Code of Federal Regula tions, Title 40, parts 100-149, revised as of July 1, 2000, p. 334–560.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000b, Maximum contaminant levels (Subpart B of part 143, National Primary Drinking-Water Regulations): U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, parts 100-149, revised as of July 1, 2000, p. 612–614.
- Weems, R.E., and Edwards, L.E., 2001, Geology of Oligo cene, Miocene, and younger deposits in the coastal area of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 131, 124 p.
- Wilde, F.D., and Radtke, D.B., 1999, Field measurements, in Wilde, F.D., Radtke, D.B., Gibs, Jacob, and Iwatsubo, R.T., eds., Handbooks for the water-resources investigations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, chap. A6, p. 31, accessed August 15, 2004, at http://pubs.water.usgs.gov/twri9A6/